UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,094	12/09/2005	Hans Lindell	10400C-000198/US	1179
30593 7590 05/13/2008 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 8910			EXAMINER	
			TORRES, ALICIA M	
RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/560,094	LINDELL, HANS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ALICIA M. TORRES	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. viely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Fe	ebruarv 2008.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/560,094 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Objections

1. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: "Use of" should be changed to –The method of use of–. Appropriate correction is required.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7, 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rester 3,630,010.

Rester discloses a wheel-mounted lawnmower wherein the use of the lawnmower is inherent, comprising:

A cutting device (66) connected to a motor (22);

An operating device (18) for operating the lawnmower;

Wherein the lawnmower has a first unit (64) which is provided with the cutting device (66) and the motor (22), and a second unit (10) which has at least two rotatable wheels (16, 20) for moving the lawnmower relative to a base and connected to the operating device (18), a wheel frame (also 10) for arranging the wheels (16, 20) and for arranging the operating device (18) on the wheel frame (10), wherein the first unit (64) is suspended from the second unit (10) by at least two insulators (76) to reduce vibrations between the units;

Wherein the first unit (64) includes a frame (also 64) for attaching the cutting device (66) and the motor (22);

Wherein the first unit (64) has a protective cover (also 64) which at least partially surrounds the cutting device (66);

In which a body (also 64) is included in the first unit (64);

In which the operating device (18) is at least one operating rod (handle grip portion) which is connected to the wheel frame (10);

Wherein the insulators (76) are attached to the wheel frame (10);

Wherein the two insulators (76) are an elastomeric material (see column 2, lines 65-66) or springs (shown in the embodiment in the drawings).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rester in view of Scheid DE 3145337, as cited by applicant.

The device is disclosed as applied above. However, Rester fails to disclose a hand steered mower in which the operating device in its longitudinal direction is divided into at least two pieces which are connected at least partially by a damping element to reduce vibrations from the second unit to the handle portion of the operating device; and

In which the operating device is L-shaped with a first end having a handle portion and a second end connectable to the wheels of the lawnmower.

Scheid discloses a hand steered mower in which the operating device (11) in its longitudinal direction is divided into at least two pieces which are connected at least partially by a damping element (21) to reduce vibrations from the second unit (12) to the handle portion (horizontal section of handle 11) of the operating device (11); and

In which the operating device (11) is L-shaped with a first end having a handle portion (horizontal section) and a second end connectable to the wheels of the lawnmower.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the damping element in the handle as taught by Scheid's hand steered mower on an insulated mower like that of Rester in order to reduce vibrations to an operator steering the mower.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/560,094 Page 5

Art Unit: 3671

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Torres whose telephone number is 571-272-6997. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at 571-272-6998.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3600. The fax

number for this Group is 571-273-8300.

/Thomas B Will/

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3671

AMT

May 8, 2008